INTERNAL AUDIT REPORT

SOUTH COUNTY TRANSIT CONTRACT AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION



Audit Committee Submittal Date: 07/18/2018

SUMMARY

Background

Storer Transit Systems (STS) provides south county transit services to the public such as Dial-A-Ride, Hwy 99 Express, Delta Route, and Galt to Sacramento Commuter Express (GSCE). The South County Transit contract (Contract) was made between County of Sacramento, Department of Transportation and STS for STS to operate the services mentioned above. As part of the Contract, STS is subject to an audit of its financial and program records for its compliance with legal and contractual requirements.

Audit Objective

To confirm Storer Transit Systems (STS) is in compliance with the South County Transit contract for contract years ended June 30, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017.

Summary

We noted issues related to STS' vehicle service hours (VSH) for fixed routes, error on GSCE vehicle mileage claiming, billable vehicle service mileage for GSCE, error on reporting of days in service, extra services billing, non-compliance with insurance requirement, non-compliance with distribution of transit service information, and deposit of operating revenue.

Department of Finance

Ben Lamera Director



Auditor-Controller Division

Joyce Renison Assistant Auditor-Controller

County of Sacramento

June 13, 2018

Mr. Ron E. Vicari, Director Department of Transportation County of Sacramento 827 7th St STE 304 Sacramento, California 95814

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, to the South County Transit (SCT) contract operated by the Storer Transit Systems (STS) for the contract year ended June 30, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below, either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures that we performed and our findings were as follows:

1. We reviewed the contract between the Sacramento County Department of Transportation and the STS applicable for the period July 1, 2013, through June 30, 2017. The contract number 81320 dated February 13, 2013 is in effect for the period of March 1, 2013 to February 28, 2018.

Finding: We noted some areas in the contract agreement requiring amendment to the contract agreement. See Attachment II, Current Findings and Recommendations.

2. We reviewed the STS accounting systems as they relate to the accounting for the control over contract receipts, expenses, vehicle service hours, vehicle service miles, and vehicle fuel costs.

Finding: The accounting system and controls over contract receipts and expenditures generally appear adequate to properly record, account for, and report contract transactions. However, we noted some areas of STS' internal controls requiring attention. See on Attachment II, *Current Findings and Recommendations*.

3. We reviewed reported revenues, expenses, and vehicle service hours and miles for compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract agreement referenced above. See Schedule A, Pages 1 through 8 for the *Schedule of Reported and Reviewed Farebox Revenues*, *Net of Expenses*, Schedule B, pages 1 through 8 for the *Schedule of Reported and Reviewed Vehicle Service Hours*,

Ron E. Vicari June 13, 2018 Page 2

Schedule C, pages 1 through 8 for the *Schedule of Amount Claimed and Recalculated for Vehicle Service Hours* and pages 1 through 4 for the *Schedule of Reported and Reviewed Vehicle Miles*.

Finding: We noted several instances of contract non-compliance and billing errors. See on Attachment II, *Current Findings and Recommendations*.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. We were not engaged to, and did not perform an audit or examination, or review, the objectives of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on STS's financial statements or schedules, internal controls, or compliance with the Agreements. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you. This report relates only to the STS transit program and does not extend to any other program administered by Sacramento County Department of Transportation.

This report is intended solely for the use of the Sacramento County Board of Supervisors, Sacramento County Audit Committee, Sacramento County Executive, Sacramento County Department of Transportation's management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than those specified parties. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Sincerely,

BEN LAMERA

DIRECTOR OF FINANCE

By:

Alan A. Matré, CPA Chief of Audits

Enclosures

Attachment I: Current Status of Prior Findings and Recommendations

Attachment I: Current Findings and Recommendations

Schedule A: Schedule of Reported and Reviewed Farebox Revenues, Net of Expenses

Schedule B: Schedule of Reported and Reviewed Vehicle Service Hours

Schedule C: Schedule of Amount Claimed and Recalculated for Vehicle Service Hours

Schedule D: Schedule of Reported and Reviewed Vehicle Miles

FROM THE PRIOR AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES REPORT FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 2012 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2013, DATED JANUARY 8, 2014

1. Vehicle Maintenance Parts Inventory

Prior Comment

This is a repeat finding from prior year audit. The Storer Transit Systems (STS) established and maintained an ongoing spare parts inventory, but continued not to perform vehicle maintenance parts inventory annually.

Prior Recommendation

We continue to recommend STS establish and maintain an ongoing spare parts inventory and perform periodic spare parts inventory to ensure adequate control on spare parts.

County Department of Transportation (DOT) Management Response

DOT management will ensure that STS performs annual maintenance parts inventory.

STS Management Response

STS management will perform an annual vehicle maintenance parts inventory. STS management has scheduled for the upcoming fiscal year, and will closely monitor to ensure the annual vehicle maintenance parts inventory to be performed to STS standards.

Current Status

It appears that our recommendation has been implemented.

2. Vehicle Maintenance Parts Security

Prior Comment

This is a repeat finding from prior year audit. STS stored the vehicle maintenance parts on shelves in an open area inside the main warehouse. The warehouse holds all STS vehicles and all employees have access to it. The vehicle maintenance parts are not secured and a control access was not set up for the parts storage area.

Prior Recommendation

We continue to recommend STS establish and maintain a secured area for its vehicle maintenance parts storage and set up a controlled access to these parts.

County DOT Management Response

The City of Galt is in the process of creating conceptual plans for a new transit facility. The new facility will have a secured area for spare parts storage. Currently, STS does not plan to

make any changes to the storages area of the vehicle maintenance parts in the leased warehouse.

STS Management Response

STS will closely monitor all vehicle maintenance parts storage to ensure no parts are stolen or misplaced. STS will also perform annual vehicle maintenance parts inventory to ensure adequate monitoring.

Current Status

STS continued to store vehicle maintenance parts in an open area inside the main warehouse without any access control and still does not plan to make any changes to the storage area of the vehicle maintenance parts due to limited resources and practicality. However, STS maintains inventory and perform physical inspection of the inventory annually. Also, we did not note any deviation during our physical inspection of sample inventory in current period. Furthermore, STS is paid based on fee for services and does not separately reimbursed for vehicle maintenance part purchases; therefore, we believe that current practice of storing vehicle maintenance part does not materially impact STS' ability to carry out its contractual duties and requirements. As such, this finding is not repeated in current period.

3. Variances between Predicted and Actual Fare Box Cash Received

Prior Comment

This is a repeat finding from prior year audit. We continued to note the expected fares per the predictive test of fares often do not agree to actual fare box cash received. A possible explanation is that the fares can be deposited into the fare boxes before the driver has the opportunity to ensure the correct fare has been paid. This is done with a handle on the fair box that deposits the fare into a lockbox. If the handle is pulled before the driver can verify the fair it is possible to have an under paid fair.

Prior Recommendation

We continue to recommend the County to consider purchasing and installing the type of fare boxes on the buses, which cannot be manipulated by the passengers.

County DOT Management Response

County DOT is in the process of joining the Universal Transit Fare System, commonly known as Connect Card. The Connect Card system will use a plastic, reloadable smart card with a built-in computer chip that can store all existing pass options across multiple transit providers and cash fares. Beginning in summer/fall 2014, customer will be able to get the new Connect Card online, retail outlet, or at a participating transit agency.

Current Status

During the current audit period, it appears that the Contractor continued to have variances between predicted and actual fare box cash received. However, the variance is immaterial, especially after the Connect Card System became active for South County Transit (SCT) System beginning January 26, 2017. Therefore, we believe that changing fare boxes at this time would not be cost effective. As such, this finding is not repeated in current period.

4. Extra Services Billing

Prior Comment

STS provided extra services on October 12, 2012. All charges related to the extra services were included in the monthly SCT transit service invoice submitted to the County Department of Transportation. According to the Contract No. 81320 Exhibit C, 5(d), any extra services provided under the agreement shall be invoiced separately following the provision of such service.

Prior Recommendation

We recommend STS invoice separately for all extra services provided under the agreement.

County DOT Management Response

County DOT management will ensure all extra services are invoiced separately.

STS Management Response

STS management will invoice County DOT separately for all extra services

Current Status

We noted an incident of improper billing of Extra Service in current period. See Finding #5 on Page 4 of Attachment II, Current Findings and Recommendations.

5. Extra Services Billing Payment

Prior Comment

STS provided extra services in two separate occasions during the current audit period. The expenditures related to one occasion were not invoiced separately and were included in the monthly transit service invoice. All extra service expenses were paid and classified as regular transit service expenses. There was no monitoring of total overall extra services expenses paid. According to the Contract No. 81320 Section 6 (b)(1), County maximum obligation for the initial contract term is \$7,500,000, inclusive of \$278,492 contingency. The total contingency payout, including all extra services for the contract period was \$278,492. Also according to the Contract Exhibit C, 5(d), any extra services provided under the agreement shall be invoiced separately following the provision of such service.

Prior Recommendation

We recommend STS invoice separately for extra services provided under the agreement. We also recommend County DOT classify all extra services expenses separately and monitor overall total payment, making sure not to exceed total of \$278,492 during the contract period.

County DOT Management Response

County DOT management will require separate invoice for all extra services provided by the STS and monitor total payments to all extra services not to exceed \$278,492 for the current contract term.

STS Management Response

STS management will invoice County DOT separately for all extra services and request separate payment from County DOT for extra service invoice.

Current Status

During the current period under review, we noted County DOT classified all extra service expenses separately and monitored overall total payment, making sure they did not exceed total of \$278,492 during the contract period.

1. Vehicle Service Hours (VSH) for Fixed Routes

Comment

According to the contract Agreement (Exhibit E, Section c) between County of Sacramento, Department of Transportation (County DOT) and Storer Transit Systems (STS), VSH should be based on actual hours, not scheduled hours. Contrary to the Agreement, for the fixed routes (Delta, HWY 99, and Galt to Sacramento Commuter Express (GSCE) routes), County DOT allowed STS to use the scheduled hours for invoice claiming as it determined that the variance would be minimal between actual vs. scheduled hours. However, County DOT and STS did not amend contract agreement to include this change.

Changing agreement without executing amendment to the contract agreement creates potential future dispute and confusion regarding billing of VSH.

We obtained the bus schedule and recalculated VSH based on the first pick-up and last dropoff time of each fixed route schedule and noted the variance between claimed VSH vs. the recalculated VSH resulting in under/over claiming of invoice amounts. We inquired about the variances to STS site manager, but no justifiable explanation was given and there was no supporting documentation for how the reported VSH for fixed routes were calculated.

Recommendation

We recommend County DOT and STS execute an amendment to the contract to include change in reporting of fixed route VSH to avoid future confusion and dispute. We also recommend that STS properly document how VSH for fixed routes were calculated to support invoices claimed to County DOT. See Schedule B. Schedule of Reported and Reviewed Vehicle Service Hours for detailed VSH variances and Schedule C. Schedule of Amount Claimed and Recalculated for Vehicle Service Hours for under/over claimed amounts for each fixed route.

County DOT Management Response

County DOT and STS will execute an amendment to the contract to include the change in reporting of fixed route VSH. A determination of how VSH will be calculated will be established to support the invoices claimed to County DOT.

STS Management Response

STS management is in agreement with County DOT response.

2. Error on GSCE Vehicle Mileage Claiming

Comment

According to the contract (Exhibit C, Section 3(c)), STS is reimbursed for GSCE mileage at mileage reimbursement rate determined based on average monthly cost of fuel per gallon for the month as published for #2 grade diesel fuel for California, by the US Energy Information Administration.

Based on our review, mileage reimbursement rate reported for May 2016 was inaccurate which resulted in under claiming of mileage reimbursement in the amount of \$563. It appeared that this is a clerical error and an isolated incident.

Recommendation

We recommend that all invoices to County DOT by STS are thoroughly reviewed by a person other than the preparer to ensure the accuracy of the invoice claiming. Utilizing a checklist for invoice preparation and review process would help produce accurate invoices.

County DOT Management Response

County DOT will continue to review invoices prior to approval. County DOT will request that STS institute a checklist for invoice preparation and establish a review process.

STS Management Response

STS management is in agreement with County DOT response.

3. Billable Vehicle Service Mileage for GSCE

Comment

During our testing of reported vehicle mileages for GSCE, we noted that STS' mileage claiming for GSCE was inconsistent. Based on our review of contract agreement between County DOT and STS, there was some ambiguity in the contract agreement in terms of billable vs. non-billable mileages. For example, mileage for non-service hours, such as driver's training, driving to fueling station, maintenance, bus yard to service location, etc. were not clearly indicated as billable or non-billable in the contract agreement. As a result, STS claimed these mileages inconsistently. Based on our review of STS' supporting documentation of mileage claiming, STS claimed mileages for vehicle maintenance and driver training trip up until June 2015 and excluded those mileages from the billing beginning on July 2015 resulting in under claiming for mileage reimbursement during the period from July 2015 through June 2017.

Based on the response from County DOT to our inquiries, both service and non-service mileages are billable including mileage for maintenance, fueling, training, trip from bus yard to service location.

Recommendation

We recommend County DOT and STS execute an amendment to the contract agreement to define billable mileages to avoid future confusion and billing errors for mileage reimbursement.

The dollar impact due to this error is immaterial and it is impractical and not cost effective to revise invoices for the period under claimed as referenced above. Therefore, we believe that it is not necessary to make any adjustment to the invoice claiming.

County DOT Management Response

County DOT and STS will execute an amendment to the contract to define billable mileages for reimbursement.

STS Management Response

STS management is in agreement with County DOT response.

4. Error on Reporting of Days in Service

Comment

During our testing of the reported days in service for GSCE, we noted that days in service were under reported on invoices from June 2015 through September 2015 as shown on the table below:

	Α	В	C=A-B	D	CxD
	Days in	Days in		Fixed	Under
	Service	Service		Reimb	claimed
	Invoiced	Reviewed	Diff	Rate	amount
Jun-15	17.67	19.00	(1.33)	45.56	(60.59)
Jul-15	2.00	6.00	(4.00)	45.56	(182.24)
Subtotal for 14/15	19.67	25.00	(5.33)	91.12	(242.83)
Aug-15 Sep-15	3.00 4.00	6.00 9.00	(3.00)	45.56 45.56	(136.68) (227.80)
Subtotal for 15/16	7.00	15.00	(8.00)	91.12	(364.48)
Grand Total	26.67	40.00	(13.33)	182.24	(607.31)

GSCE routes were operated by a vehicle owned by STS only and STS was reimbursed from County for number of days of its own vehicle use at fixed rate until June 2015. When the County purchased a vehicle and put it in service for GSCE routes, this created confusion regarding reporting days in service which was not detailed out in the contract agreement between County DOT and STS.

Recommendation

We recommend County DOT and STS execute amendment to the contract agreement to include clarification regarding how the days in service should be reported to avoid future confusion and billing errors.

County DOT Management Response

County DOT and STS will execute an amendment to the contract to include clarification regarding how the days in service should be reported.

STS Management Response

STS management is in agreement with County DOT response.

5. Extra Services Billing

Comment

STS used a small local service vehicle when there were overflow of GSCE passengers. Per County DOT's instruction, this overflow transportation service is considered as Extra Services and should be separately invoiced at local service fixed hourly rate according to the contract agreement (Exhibit C, Section 2(a), 4(b), and 5(d)).

During our review of Vehicle Service/Non-Service Miles, we noted that GSCE overflow passenger transportation service on September 15, 2015 was not separately invoiced using VSH at contracted rate but commingled with GSCE regular invoice as mileage reimbursement resulting in over-claim of mileage and under claiming VSH. However, based on our further testing and review of GSCE miles reported, this error appears to be an isolated incident caused by oversight on extra service billing process and the dollar effect was immaterial, less than \$20.

Recommendation

We recommend STS separately invoice for all extra services provided under the contract agreement. Also, see recommendation for Finding #2 on page 2 of this attachment. Since this error appears to be an isolated incident and the dollar effect was immaterial, we believe that no adjustment in invoice claiming is necessary.

County DOT Management Response

County DOT will establish that all extra services provided under the contract will be separately invoiced.

STS Management Response

STS management is in agreement with County DOT response.

6. Non-Compliance with Insurance Requirement

Comment

According to the contract agreement (Exhibit B, section 3) between County DOT and STS, STS is required to have sublimits for Uninsured motorist and medical payment coverage in the amount of \$1,000,000 and \$10,000, respectively. However, STS' insurance policy covers only \$15,000 for bodily injury (each person) and \$30,000 (each accident) for Uninsured Motorist and \$5,000 for medical expenses under general liability insurance. This insufficient insurance coverage can impose potential liabilities to the County as well as STS. It appeared that STS management did not thoroughly review and understand the contract agreement and its requirement. Also, County DOT did not verify STS' insurance coverage on a regular basis.

Recommendation

We recommend STS obtain adequate insurance coverage as required by contract agreement with County DOT. We also recommend County DOT verify STS' insurance coverage annually to ensure STS' insurance coverage meets contractual requirement.

County DOT Management Response

County DOT is discussing the insurance requirements with Sacramento County Risk Management. Risk Management is reviewing market coverage and will fully address the insurance requirement portion of the contract. Further discussion between Risk Management, County DOT, and STS may be necessary.

7. Non-Compliance with Distribution of Transit Service Information

Comment

According to the retroactive first amendment to agreement (Section 1) between County DOT and STS, STS is required to develop at least ten locations within the service area for distribution of transit service information to insure that transit passenger information is easily available throughout the Galt and South County service area. The information should be maintained at least monthly. Based on the inquiries to STS' site manager, SCT rider's guides

and schedules are posted and/or available to the public at the following ten locations which was approved by County DOT Transit Manager:

- SCT Link Office 140 Enterprise Ct Ste B Galt
- Galt City Hall 380 Civic Dr Galt
- Chabolla Center 600 Chabolla Ave Galt
- Fairsite Elementary 902 Caroline Ave Galt
- Galt Public Library 1000 Caroline Ave Galt
- Lodi Transit Center 24 S Sacramento St Lodi
- Cosumnes River College 8401 Center Pkwy Sacramento
- Isleton City Hall 101 2nd St Isleton
- Galt Place Senior Apartments 400 D St Galt
- New Hope Senior Village 890 Village Run Galt

However, based on our site visit to these locations, SCT rider's guides and schedules were not posted or available to the public at Fairsite Elementary and Galt Place Senior Apartments. Also, in Chabolla Center, the only information available was phone number to call for Dial-A-Ride service and in Galt Public Library, although some, not all, bus route schedules were available upon request, no information was posted for public view.

STS' non-compliance with this contract agreement requirement may have caused lost opportunity for effective operation and improving ridership of South County Transit (SACLINK) system.

It appeared that County DOT did not monitor STS' service activity regarding distribution of transit service information on a regular basis.

Recommendation

We recommend STS properly distribute and make available to public the transit service information in the designated service areas approved by County DOT and maintain the information monthly as required by contract agreement. We also recommend that County DOT monitor STS' activities periodically to ensure STS meets its contractual requirement.

County DOT Management Response

County DOT will monitor STS transit service information activities to ensure STS meets its contractual requirement.

STS Management Response

STS management is in agreement with County DOT response.

8. Deposit of Operating Revenue

Comment

According to Exhibit C, Section 1 of the contract agreement between County DOT and STS, "Within one working day from collection, unless otherwise agreed upon, Contractor shall deposit fares at a banking institution as directed by County." However, based on our review of documentation and discussion with STS' site manager, the deposit was done twice a week, not daily. Per County DOT Transit Manager, there was a side agreement allowing this practice. However, it appeared that this side agreement was not officially executed into an amendment to the contract agreement.

Changing agreement without executing an amendment to contract agreement can potentially create confusion and inconsistency in the future.

Recommendation

We recommend County DOT and STS execute amendment to the contract agreement to include the change in operating revenue deposit frequency to twice a week from within one working day to avoid any future confusion and inconsistency.

County DOT Management Response

The Farebox & Ticket Sales Procedure will be amended into the contract agreement.

STS Management Response

STS management is in agreement with County DOT response.

STORER TRANSIT SYSTEMS (STS) SCHEDULE OF REPORTED AND REVIEWED FARE REVENUES, NET OF EXPENSES LOCAL TRANSIT SERVICES FOR THE CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2013, TO JUNE 30, 2014

FARE REVENUES

Month:	evenues eported by STS	Reviewed Revenues	Variance
July 2013	\$ 8,705	8,705	
August 2013	11,912	11,912	
September 2013	13,596	13,596	
October 2013	15,334	. 15,334	
November 2013	11,443	11,443	
December 2013	10,979	10,979	
January 2014	13,115	13,115	
February 2014	11,826	11,826	
March 2014	13,596	13,596	
April 2014	12,133	12,133	
May 2014	11,987	11,987	
June 2014	 8,352	8,352	
Totals	\$ 142,978	142,978	

Note: Local Transit Services include Delta, HWY99 and Dial-A-Ride

STORER TRANSIT SYSTEMS (STS) SCHEDULE OF REPORTED AND REVIEWED FARE REVENUES, NET OF EXPENSES COMMUTER SERVICES

FOR THE CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2013, TO JUNE 30, 2014

	 F	FARE REVENUES	
Month:	Revenues eported by STS	Reviewed Revenues	Variance
July 2013	\$ 5,794	5,794	
August 2013	4,343	4,343	
September 2013	5,418	5,418	
October 2013	5,113	5,113	
November 2013	3,698	3,698	
December 2013	4,621	4,621	
January 2014	4,069	4,069	
February 2014	4,081	4,081	
March 2014	5,291	5,291	
April 2014	4,961	4,961	
May 2014	4,818	4,818	
June 2014	 4,974	4,974	
Totals	\$ 57,181	57,181	

STORER TRANSIT SYSTEMS (STS) SCHEDULE OF REPORTED AND REVIEWED FARE REVENUES, NET OF EXPENSES LOCAL TRANSIT SERVICES FOR THE CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2014, TO JUNE 30, 2015

	FARE REVENUES			
	F	Revenues		
	Re	eported by	Reviewed	
Month:		STS	Revenues	Variance
July 2014	\$	8,956	8,956	
August 2014		11,803	11,803	
September 2014		13,178	13,178	
October 2014		13,886	13,886	
November 2014		11,973	11,973	
December 2014		11,105	11,105	
January 2015		12,405	12,405	
February 2015		12,373	12,373	
March 2015		14,518	14,518	
April 2015		12,959	12,959	
May 2015		11,904	11,904	
June 2015		10,335	10,335	
Totals	\$	145,395	145,395	

Note: Local Transit Services include Delta, HWY99 and Dial-A-Ride

STORER TRANSIT SYSTEMS (STS) SCHEDULE OF REPORTED AND REVIEWED FARE REVENUES, NET OF EXPENSES COMMUTER SERVICES

FOR THE CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2014, TO JUNE 30, 2015

	FARE REVENUES			
Month:		Revenues eported by STS	Reviewed Revenues	Variance
July 2014	\$	5,445	5,445	
August 2014		5,860	5,860	
September 2014		4,117	4,117	
October 2014		6,453	6,453	
November 2014		4,116	4,116	
December 2014		5,059	5,059	
January 2015		6,540	6,540	
February 2015		4,313	4,313	
March 2015		7,430	7,430	
April 2015		6,349	6,349	
May 2015		5,510	5,510	
June 2015		6,381	6,381	
Totals	æ	67 572	67 572	· ·
TOTALS	\$	67,573	67,573	

STORER TRANSIT SYSTEMS (STS) SCHEDULE OF REPORTED AND REVIEWED FARE REVENUES, NET OF EXPENSES LOCAL TRANSIT SERVICES FOR THE CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2015, TO JUNE 30, 2016

FARE REVENUES Revenues Reported by Reviewed Month: STS Revenues Variance July 2015 \$ 8,174 8,174 August 2015 11,272 11,272 September 2015 14,799 14,799 October 2015 15,672 15,672 November 2015 11,167 11,167 December 2015 11,652 11,652 January 2016 10,366 10,366 February 2016 13,127 13,127 March 2016 12,869 12,869 April 2016 12,883 12,883 May 2016 11,734 11,734 June 2016 10,486 10,486 Totals 144,201 144,201 \$

Note: Local Transit Services include Delta, HWY99 and Dial-A-Ride

STORER TRANSIT SYSTEMS (STS) SCHEDULE OF REPORTED AND REVIEWED FARE REVENUES, NET OF EXPENSES COMMUTER SERVICES FOR THE CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2015, TO JUNE 30, 2016

FARE REVENUES

		evenues		
	Re	ported by	Reviewed	
Month:		STS	Revenues	Variance
	•	5.000	5.000	
July 2015	\$	5,930	5,930	
August 2015		5,611	5,611	
September 2015		8,326	8,326	
October 2015		5,720	5,720	
November 2015		8,806	8,806	
December 2015		7,119	7,119	
January 2016		7,214	7,214	
February 2016		6,433	6,433	
March 2016		7,852	7,852	
April 2016		7,996	7,996	
May 2016		8,064	8,064	
June 2016		5,997	5,997	
Totals	\$	85,066	85,066	

STORER TRANSIT SYSTEMS (STS) SCHEDULE OF REPORTED AND REVIEWED FARE REVENUES, NET OF EXPENSES LOCAL TRANSIT SERVICES FOR THE CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2016, TO JUNE 30, 2017

FARE REVENUES

Month:	evenues ported by STS	Reviewed Revenues	Variance
July 2016	\$ 8,326	8,326	
August 2016	12,200	12,200	
September 2016	14,529	14,529	
October 2016	13,513	13,513	
November 2016	12,559	12,559	
December 2016	10,514	10,514	
January 2017	12,416	12,416	
February 2017	15,226	15,226	
March 2017	20,590	20,590	
April 2017	17,247	17,247	
May 2017	17,909	17,909	
June 2017	 12,885	12,885	
Totals	\$ 167,914	167,914	Name of the Control o

Note: Local Transit Services include Delta, HWY99 and Dial-A-Ride

STORER TRANSIT SYSTEMS (STS) SCHEDULE OF REPORTED AND REVIEWED FARE REVENUES, NET OF EXPENSES COMMUTER SERVICES

FOR THE CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2016, TO JUNE 30, 2017

	FARE REVENUES			
Month:		evenues ported by STS	Reviewed Revenues	Variance
July 2016 August 2016 September 2016 October 2016 November 2016 December 2016 January 2017 February 2017 March 2017 April 2017 May 2017	\$	7,521 6,650 5,347 7,414 6,354 6,046 6,251 2,034 1,866 1,210 1,807	7,521 6,650 5,347 7,414 6,354 6,046 6,251 2,034 1,866 1,210 1,807	
June 2017 Totals	\$	1,514 54,013	1,514 54,013	

STORER TRANSIT SYSTEM (STS) SCHEDULE OF REPORTED AND REVIEWED VEHICLE SERVICE HOURS LOCAL TRANSIT SERVICES FOR THE CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2013, TO JUNE 30, 2014

VEHICLE SERVICE HOURS

Month:	Reported by STS	Reviewed Hours	Over/(Under) Reported by STS
July 2013 August 2013 September 2013 October 2013 November 2013 December 2013 January 2014 February 2014 March 2014	1,351.67 1,655.42 1,648.76 1,854.86 1,433.00 1,529.61 1,716.73 1,525.00 1,621.94	1,372.35 1,676.10 1,667.56 1,876.48 1,449.92 1,548.41 1,736.47 1,542.86 1,641.68	(20.68) (20.68) (18.80) (21.62) (16.92) (18.80) (19.74) (17.86) (19.74)
April 2014 May 2014 June 2014	1,631.74 1,692.79 1,435.23	1,652.42 1,712.53 1,454.97	(20.68) (19.74) (19.74)
Totals	19,096.75	19,331.75	(235.00) 1)

¹⁾ See page 1 of Schedule C

Note: Local Transit Services include Delta, HWY99 and Dial-A-Ride

STORER TRANSIT SYSTEM (STS) SCHEDULE OF REPORTED AND REVIEWED VEHICLE SERVICE HOURS LOCAL TRANSIT SERVICES FOR THE CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2014, TO JUNE 30, 2015

VEHICLE SERVICE HOURS

Month:	Reported by STS	Reviewed Hours	Over/(Under) Reported by STS
July 2014	1,478.22	1,498.90	(20.68)
August 2014	1,474.21	1,493.95	(19.74)
September 2014	1,522.45	1,542.19	(19.74)
October 2014	1,754.33	1,775.95	(21.62)
November 2014	1,347.82	1,363.80	(15.98)
December 2014	1,626.43	1,646.17	(19.74)
January 2015	1,603.94	1,622.74	(18.80)
February 2015	1,467.34	1,485.20	(17.86)
March 2015	1,786.51	1,807.19	(20.68)
April 2015	1,860.23	1,880.91	(20.68)
May 2015	1,807.91	1,826.71	(18.80)
June 2015	1,689.06	1,709.74	(20.68)
Totals	19,418.45	19,653.45	(235.00) 1)

1) See page 3 of Schedule C

Note: Local Transit Services include Delta, HWY99 and Dial-A-Ride

STORER TRANSIT SYSTEM (STS) SCHEDULE OF REPORTED AND REVIEWED VEHICLE SERVICE HOURS COMMUTER TRANSIT SERVICES FOR THE CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2014, TO JUNE 30, 2015

VEHICLE SERVICE HOURS

<u>Month</u> :	Reported by STS	Reviewed Hours	Over/(Under) Reported by STS
July 2014	115.50	110.00	5.50
August 2014	115.50	105.00	10.50
September 2014	105.00	105.00	
October 2014	120.75	115.00	5.75
November 2014	94.50	85.00	9.50
December 2014	105.00	105.00	
January 2015	110.25	100.00	10.25
February 2015	99.75	95.00	4.75
March 2015	110.25	110.00	0.25
April 2015	115.50	110.00	5.50
May 2015	110.25	100.00	10.25
June 2015	110.25	110.00	0.25
Totals	1,312.50	1,250.00	62.50 1)

¹⁾ See page 4 of Schedule C

STORER TRANSIT SYSTEM (STS) SCHEDULE OF REPORTED AND REVIEWED VEHICLE SERVICE HOURS LOCAL TRANSIT SERVICES FOR THE CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2015, TO JUNE 30, 2016

VEHICLE SERVICE HOURS

Month:	Reported by STS	Reviewed Hours	Over/(Under) Reported by STS
July 2015	1,658.31	1,679.93	(21.62)
August 2015	1,702.71	1,722.45	(19.74)
September 2015	1,824.39	1,844.13	(19.74)
October 2015	1,896.59	1,917.27	(20.68)
November 2015	1,506.71	1,523.63	(16.92)
December 2015	1,653.64	1,673.38	(19.74)
January 2016	1,524.87	1,542.73	(17.86)
February 2016	1,578.42	1,597.22	(18.80)
March 2016	1,711.35	1,732.97	(21.62)
April 2016	1,693.65	1,713.39	(19.74)
May 2016	1,679.79	1,699.53	(19.74)
June 2016	1,532.63	1,553.31	(20.68)
Totals	19,963.06	20,199.94	(236.88) 1)

1) See page 5 of Schedule C

Note: Local Transit Services include Delta, HWY99 and Dial-A-Ride

STORER TRANSIT SYSTEM (STS) SCHEDULE OF REPORTED AND REVIEWED VEHICLE SERVICE HOURS COMMUTER TRANSIT SERVICES FOR THE CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2015, TO JUNE 30, 2016

VEHICLE SERVICE HOURS

Month:	Reported by STS	Reviewed Hours	Over/(Under) Reported by STS
July 2015 August 2015 September 2015 October 2015 November 2015 December 2015 January 2016 February 2016 March 2016 April 2016 May 2016	120.75 110.25 120.75 192.50 157.50 183.75 166.25 175.00 201.25 183.75	115.00 105.00 116.49 194.26 158.94 185.43 167.77 176.60 203.09 185.43	5.75 5.25 4.26 (1.76) (1.44) (1.68) (1.52) (1.60) (1.84) (1.68) (1.68)
June 2016	192.50	194.26	(1.76)
Totals	1,988.00	1,987.70	0.30 1)

¹⁾ See page 6 of Schedule C

STORER TRANSIT SYSTEM (STS) SCHEDULE OF REPORTED AND REVIEWED VEHICLE SERVICE HOURS LOCAL TRANSIT SERVICES FOR THE CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2016, TO JUNE 30, 2017

VEHICLE SERVICE HOURS

Month:	Reported by STS	Reviewed Hours	Over/(Under) Reported by STS
July 2016 August 2016	1,321.82 1,623.45	1,340.62 1,645.07	(18.80) (21.62)
September 2016	1,625.08	1,644.82	(21.02)
October 2016	1,760.56	1,780.30	(19.74)
November 2016	1,575.10	1,592.96	(17.86)
December 2016	1,710.53	1,730.27	(19.74)
January 2017	1,706.00	1,724.80	(18.80)
February 2017	1,659.20	1,677.06	(17.86)
March 2017	1,985.78	2,007.40	(21.62)
April 2017	1,631.13	1,649.93	(18.80)
May 2017	1,843.10	1,863.78	(20.68)
June 2017	1,588.96	1,609.64	(20.68)
Totals	20,030.71	20,266.65	(235.94) 1)

¹⁾ See page 7 of Schedule C

Note: Local Transit Services include Delta, HWY99 and Dial-A-Ride

STORER TRANSIT SYSTEM (STS) SCHEDULE OF REPORTED AND REVIEWED VEHICLE SERVICE HOURS COMMUTER TRANSIT SERVICES FOR THE CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2016, TO JUNE 30, 2017

VEHICLE SERVICE HOURS

Month:	Reported by STS	Reviewed Hours	Over/(Under) Reported by STS
July 2016 August 2016 September 2016 October 2016 November 2016 December 2016 January 2017 February 2017 March 2017 April 2017 May 2017	175.00 201.25 183.75 183.75 166.25 183.75 175.00 166.25 201.25 175.00 192.50	176.60 203.09 185.43 185.43 167.77 185.43 176.60 167.77 203.09 176.60 194.26	(1.60) (1.84) (1.68) (1.68) (1.52) (1.60) (1.52) (1.84) (1.60) (1.76)
June 2017	192.50	194.26	(1.76)
Totals	2,196.25	2,216.33	(20.08) 1)

¹⁾ See page 8 of Schedule C

STORER TRANSIT SYSTEM (STS) SCHEDULE OF AMOUNT CLAIMED AND RECALCULATED FOR VEHICLE SERVICE HOURS (VSH) LOCAL TRANSIT SERVICES FIXED ROUTES FOR THE CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2013, TO JUNE 30, 2014

	I	Delta				H	WY 99						
	Claimed	Calculated				Claimed	Calculated						
Daily V\$H	9.15	9.17				27.00	27.9 2						
											Delta &		L HWY 99
		n - 4	Delta				O-F	HWY 99			HWY 99	Com	bined
		B=A x Calculated	C=A x Claimed				G=F x Calculated	H=F x Claimed					
	Α	Daily VSH	Daily V\$H	D=C-B	J=D x E	F	Dialy VSH	Daily VSH	I=H-G	K=IxE	E	D+I	J+K
					(Under)/					(Under)/			(Under)/
				(Under)/	Over				(Under)/	Over "		(Under)/	Over
	# of			Over	Claimed	# of			Over	Claimed	Fixed	Over	Claimed
	Service	Recalculated	Claimed	Claimed	VSH	Service	Recalculated	Claimed	Claimed	VSH	Hourly	Claimed	VSH
Month:	Days	VSH	VSH	VSH	Amount	Days	VSH	VSH	VSH	Amount	Rate	VSH	Amount
July 2013	22.00	201.74	201.30	(0.44)	\$ (15.89)	22.00	614.24	594	(20.24)	\$ (730.87)	\$ 36.11	(20.68)	\$ (746.75)
August 2013	22.00	201.74	201.30	(0.44)	(15.89)	22.00		594	(20.24)	(730.87)	36.11	(20.68)	(746.75)
September 2013	20.00	183.40	183.00	(0.40)	(14.44)	20.00		540	(18.40)	(664.42)	36.11	(18.80)	(678.87)
October 2013	23.00	210.91	210.45	(0.46)	(16.61)	23.00	642.16	621	(21.16)	(764.09)	36.11	(21.62)	(780.70)
November 2013	18.00	165.06	164.70	(0.36)	(13.00)	18.00	502.56	486	(16.56)	(597.98)	36.11	(16.92)	(610.98)
December 2013	20.00	183.40	183.00	(0.40)	(14,44)	20.00	. 558.40	540	(18.40)	(664.42)	36,11	(18.80)	(678.87)
January 2014	21.00	192.57	192.15	(0.42)	(15.17)	21.00	586.32	567	(19.32)	(697.65)	36.11	(19.74)	(712.81)
February 2014	19.00	174.23	173.85	(0.38)	(13.72)	19.00	530.48	513	(17.48)	(631.20)	36.11	(17.86)	(644.92)
March 2014	21.00	192.57	192.15	(0.42)	(15.47)	21.00	586.32	567	(19.32)	(711.56)	36.83	(19.74)	(727.02)
April 2014	22.00	201.74	201.30	(0.44)	(16.21)	22.00	614.24	594	(20.24)	(745.44)	36.83	(20.68)	(761.64)
May 2014	21.00	192.57	192.15	(0.42)	(15.47)	21.00	586.32	567	(19.32)	(711.56)	36.83	(19.74)	(727.02)
June 2014	21.00	192.57	192.15	(0.42)	(15.47)	21.00	586.32	567	(19.32)	(711.56)	36.83	(19.74)	(727.02)
Totals		2,292.50	2,287.50	(5.00)	\$ (181.77)		6,980.00	6,750.00	(230.00)	\$ (8,361.60)	1)	(235.00)	\$ (8,543.38)

¹⁾ See page 1 of Schedule B

STORER TRANSIT SYSTEM (STS) SCHEDULE OF AMOUNT CLAIMED AND RECALCULATED FOR VEHICLE SERVICE HOURS (VSH) COMMUTER TRANSIT SERVICES

FOR THE CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2013, TO JUNE 30, 2014

Daily VSH

G3CE									
Claimed	Calculated								
5.25	5.00								

	G\$CE											
		B=A x	C = A x		"							
		Calculated	Claimed									
	Α	Rate	Rate	D=C-B	E	DxE						
				(Under)/	GSCE	(Under)/						
	# of			Over	Fixed	Over						
	Service	Recalculated	Claimed	Claimed	Hourly	Claimed						
Month:	<u>Days</u>	VSH	VSH	VSH	Rate	VSH Amount						
July 2013	22.00	110.00	115.50	5.50	\$ 43.37	\$ 238.54						
August 2013	22.00	110.00	115.50	5.50	43.37	238.54						
September 2013	20.00	100.00	105.00	5.00	43.37	216.85						
October 2013	23.00	115.00	120.75	5.75	43.37	249.38						
November 2013	18.00	90.00	94.50	4.50	43.37	195.17						
December 2013	20.00	100.00	105.00	5.00	43.37	216.85						
January 2014	21.00	105.00	110.25	5.25	43.37	227.69						
February 2014	19.00	95.00	99.75	4.75	43.37	206.01						
March 2014	21.00	105.00	110.25	5.25	44.45	233.36						
April 2014	22.00	110.00	115.50	5.50	44.45	244.48						
May 2014	21.00	105.00	110.25	5.25	44.45	233.36						
June 2014	21.00	105.00	110.25	5.25	44.45	233.36						
Totals		1,250.00	1,312.50	62.50	1)	\$ 2,733.58						

¹⁾ See page 2 of Schedule B

STORER TRANSIT SYSTEM (STS) SCHEDULE OF AMOUNT CLAIMED AND RECALCULATED FOR VEHICLE SERVICE HOURS (VSH) LOCAL TRANSIT SERVICES FIXED ROUTES FOR THE CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2014, TO JUNE 30, 2015

		Delta				н	WY 99						
	Claimed	Calculated				Claimed	Calculated						
Daily VSH	9.15	9.17				27.00	27.92						
			Dalla.					1040/ 00			Delta &		k HWY 99
		B=A x	Delta C=A x		man.		G=F x	HWY 99 H=F x			HWY 99	Com	nbined
		Calculated	Claimed				Calculated	Claimed					
	Α	Daily VSH	Daily VSH	D=C-B	J=D x E	F	Dialy VSH	Daily VSH	I=H-G	K=IxE	Е	D+I	J+K
		•	,		(Under)/		•	,					(Under)/
				(Under)/	Over				(Under)/			(Under)/	Over
	# of			Over	Claimed	# of			Over	(Under)/Over	Fixed	Over	Claimed
	Service	Recalculated	Claimed	Claimed	VSH	Service	Recalculated	Claimed	Claimed	Claimed VSH	Hourly	Claimed	VSH
Month:	Days	VSH	VSH	VSH	Amount	Days	VSH	VSH	VSH	Amount	Rate	<u>VSH</u>	Amount
	00.00	001.71					42.404		(00.0.1)				
July 2014	22.00	201.74	201.30	(0.44)		22.00	614.24	594	(20.24)		\$ 36.83	(20.68)	\$ (761.64)
August 2014	21.00	192.57	192.15	(0.42)	(15.47)	21.00	586.32	567	(19.32)	(711.56)	36.83	(19.74)	(727.02)
September 2014	21.00	192.57	192.15	(0.42)	(15.47)	21.00	586.32	567	(19.32)	(711.56)	36.83	(19.74)	(727.02)
October 2014	23.00	210.91	210.45	(0.46)	(16.94)	23.00	642.16	621	(21.16)	(779.32)	36.83	(21.62)	(796.26)
November 2014	17.00	155.89	155.55	(0.34)	(12.52)	17.00	474.64	459	(15.64)	(576.02)	36.83	(15.98)	(588.54)
December 2014	21.00	192.57	192.15	(0.42)	(15.47)	21.00	586.32	567	(19.32)	(711.56)	36.83	(19.74)	(727.02)
January 2015	20.00	183.40	183.00	(0.40)	(14.73)	20.00	558.40	540	(18.40)	(677.67)	36.83	(18.80)	(692.40)
February 2015	19.00	174.23	173.85	(0.38)	(14.00)	19.00	530.48	513	(17.48)	(643.79)	36.83	(17.86)	(657.78)
March 2015	22.00	201.74	201.30	(0.44)	(16.53)	22.00	614.24	594	(20.24)	(760.42)	37.57	(20.68)	(776.95)
April 2015	22.00	201.74	201.30	(0.44)	(16.53)	22.00	614.24	594	(20.24)	(760.42)	37.57	(20.68)	(776.95)
May 2015	20.00	183.40	183.00	(0.40)	(15.03)	20.00	558.40	540	(18.40)	(691.29)	37.57	(18.80)	(706.32)
June 2015	22.00	201.74	201.30	(0.44)	(16.53)	22.00	614.24	594	(20.24)	(760.42)	37.57	(20.68)	(776.95)
Totals		2,292.50	2,287.50	(5.00)	\$ (185.42)		6,980.00	6,750.00	(230.00)	\$ (8,529.45)	1	(235.00)	\$(8,714.87)

¹⁾ See page 3 of Schedule B

STORER TRANSIT SYSTEM (STS) SCHEDULE OF REPORTED AND REVIEWED VEHICLE SERVICE HOURS COMMUTER TRANSIT SERVICES FOR THE CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2013, TO JUNE 30, 2014

VEHICLE SERVICE HOURS

Month:	Reported by STS	Reviewed Hours	Over/(Under) Reported by STS
July 2013	115.50	110.00	5.50
August 2013	115.50	110.00	5.50
September 2013	105.00	100.00	5.00
October 2013	120.75	115.00	5.75
November 2013	94.50	90.00	4.50
December 2013	105.00	100.00	5.00
January 2014	110.25	105.00	5.25
February 2014	99.75	95.00	4.75
March 2014	110.25	105.00	5.25
April 2014	115.50	110.00	5.50
May 2014	110.25	105.00	5.25
June 2014	110.25	105.00	5.25
Totals	1,312.50	1,250.00	62.50 1)

¹⁾ See page 2 of Schedule C

STORER TRANSIT SYSTEM (STS) SCHEDULE OF AMOUNT CLAIMED AND RECALCULATED FOR VEHICLE SERVICE HOURS (VSH) COMMUTER TRANSIT SERVICES FOR THE CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2014, TO JUNE 30, 2015

	GSCE								
	Claimed	Calculated							
Daily VSH	5.25	5.00							

	GSCE											
,		B=A x	C = A x		٠							
		Calculated	Claimed									
	Α	Rate	Rate	D=C-B	Ε	DxE						
				(Under)/	GSCE	(Under)/						
				Over	Fixed	Over						
	# of Svc	Recalculated	Claimed	Claimed	Hourly	Claimed						
Month:	Days	VSH	VSH	VSH	Rate	Amount						
July 2014	22.00	110.00	115.50	5.50	\$ 44.45	\$ 244.48						
August 2014	21.00	105.00	110.25	5.25	44.45	233.36						
September 2014	21.00	105.00	110.25	5.25	44.45	233.36						
October 2014	23.00	115.00	120.75	5.75	44.45	255.59						
November 2014	17.00	85.00	89.25	4.25	44.45	188.91						
December 2014	21.00	105.00	110.25	5.25	44.45	233.36						
January 2015	20.00	100.00	105.00	5.00	44.45	222.25						
February 2015	19.00	95.00	99.75	4.75	44.45	211.14						
March 2015	22.00	110.00	115.50	5.50	45.56	250.58						
April 2015	22.00	110.00	115.50	5.50	45.56	250.58						
May 2015	20.00	100.00	105.00	5.00	45.56	227.80						
June 2015	22.00	110.00	115.50	5.50	45.56	250.58						
Totals		1,250.00	1,312.50	62.50	1)	\$ 2,801.99						

¹⁾ See page 4 of Schedule B

STORER TRANSIT SYSTEM (STS) SCHEDULE OF AMOUNT CLAIMED AND RECALCULATED FOR VEHICLE SERVICE HOURS (VSH) LOCAL TRANSIT SERVICES FIXED ROUTES FOR THE CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2015, TO JUNE 30, 2016

	[Delta				н	WY 99						
	Claimed	Calculated				Claimed	Calculated						
Daily VSH	9.15	9.17				27.00	27.92						
											Delta &	Delta &	HWY 99
		·	Delta					HWY 99			_HWY 99	Com	bined
		B=A x	C=A x				G=F x	H=F x					
		Calculated	Claimed	D-C D	I=D :: F	F	Calculated	Claimed	I-U C	V-IvE	-	D.I	1.16
	Α	Daily VSH	Daily VSH	D=C-B	J=D x E	г	Dialy VSH	Daily VSH	I=H-G	K=IxE	E	D+I	J+K
				(Under)/	(Under)/ Over				(Under)/	•		(Under)/	(Under)/ Over
	# of			Over	Claimed	# of			Over	(Under)/Over	Fixed	Over	Claimed
	Service	Recalculate	Claimed	Claimed	VSH	Service	Recalculated		Claimed	Claimed VSH	Hourly	Claimed	VSH
Month:	Days	d VSH	VSH	VSH	Amount	Days	VSH	Claimed VSH	VSH	Amount	Rate	VSH	Amount
<u>141011111.</u>		4 7071		7011	,		7 01 1	Cidiiiiod (Cii	7011	741100111			741100111
July 2015	23.00	210.91	210.45	(0.46)	\$ (17.28)	23.00	642.16	621	(21.16)	(794.98)	\$ 37.57	(21.62)	\$ (812.26)
August 2015	21.00	192.57	192.15	(0.42)	(15.78)	21.00	586.32	567	(19.32)	(725.85)	37.57	(19.74)	(741.63)
September 2015	21.00	192.57	192.15	(0.42)	(15.78)	21.00	586.32	567	(19.32)	(725.85)	37.57	(19.74)	(741.63)
October 2015	22.00	201.74	201.30	(0.44)	(16.53)	22.00	614.24	594	(20.24)	(760.42)	37.57	(20.68)	(776.95)
November 2015	18.00	165.06	164.70	(0.36)	(13.53)	18.00	502.56	486	(16.56)	(622.16)	37.57	(16.92)	(635.68)
December 2015	21.00	192.57	192.15	(0.42)	(15.78)	21.00	586.32	567	(19.32)	(725.85)	37.57	(19.74)	(741.63)
January 2016	19.00	174.23	173.85	(0.38)	(14.28)	19.00	530.48	513	(17.48)	(656.72)	37.57	(17.86)	(671.00)
February 2016	20.00	183.40	183.00	(0.40)	(15.03)	20.00	558.40	540	(18.40)	(691.29)	37.57	(18.80)	(706.32)
March 2016	23.00	210.91	210.45	(0.46)	(17.63)	23.00	642.16	621	(21.16)	(810.85)	38.32	(21.62)	(828.48)
April 2016	21.00	192.57	192.15	(0.42)	(16.09)	21.00	586.32	567	(19.32)	(740.34)	38.32	(19.74)	(756.44)
May 2016	21.00	192.57	192.15	(0.42)	(16.09)	21.00	586.32	567	(19.32)	(740.34)	38.32	(19.74)	(756.44)
June 2016	22.00	201.74	201.30	(0.44)	(16.86)	22.00	614.24	594	(20.24)	(775.60)	38.32	(20.68)	(792.46)
Totals		2,310.84	2,305.80	(5.04)	\$ (190.66)		7,035.84	6,804.00	(231.84)	(8,770.26)	1	(236.88)	\$ (8,960.92)

¹⁾ See page 5 of Schedule B

STORER TRANSIT SYSTEM (STS) SCHEDULE OF AMOUNT CLAIMED AND RECALCULATED FOR VEHICLE SERVICE HOURS (VSH) COMMUTER TRANSIT SERVICES

FOR THE CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2015, TO JUNE 30, 2016

 GSCE

 Claimed
 Calculated

 Daily VSH
 5.25
 5.00
 1)

 Daily VSH
 8.75
 8.83
 2)

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	GSCE									
-		B=A x	C = A x							
		Calculated	Claimed							
	Α	Rate	Rate	D=C-B	E	DxE				
				(Under)/	GSCE	(Under)/				
				Over	Fixed	Over				
	# of Svc	Recalculated	Claimed	Claimed	Hourly	Claimed				
Month:	Days	VSH	VSH	VSH	Rate	Amount				
July 2015	23.00	115.00	120.75	5.75	\$ 45.56	\$ 261.97				
August 2015	21.00	105.00	110.25	5.25	45.56	239.19				
September 2015	21.00	116.49	120.75	4.26	45.56	194.09 3))			
October 2015	22.00	194.26	192.50	(1.76)	45.56	(80.19)				
November 2015	18.00	158.94	157.50	(1.44)	45.56	(65.61)				
December 2015	21.00	185.43	183.75	(1.68)	45.56	(76.54)				
January 2016	19.00	167.77	166.25	(1.52)	45.56	(69.25)				
February 2016	20.00	176.60	175.00	(1.60)	45.56	(72.90)				
March 2016	23.00	203.09	201.25	(1.84)	46.70	(85.93)				
April 2016	21.00	185.43	183.75	(1.68)	46.70	(78.46)				
May 2016	21.00	185.43	183.75	(1.68)	46.70	(78.46)				
June 2016	22.00	194.26	192.50	(1.76)	46.70	(82.19)				
Totals		1,987.70	1,988.00	0.30	4)	\$ 5.73				

- 1) VSH for GSCE prior to September 28, 2015 when 2 new routes were added.
- 2) VSH for GSCE beginning September 28, 2015 when 2 new routes were added.
- 3) VSH for GSCE were applied 18 days at lower rate and 3 days at higher rate as shown above due to addition of two new routes.
- 4) See page 6 of Schedule B

STORER TRANSIT SYSTEM (STS) SCHEDULE OF AMOUNT CLAIMED AND RECALCULATED FOR VEHICLE SERVICE HOURS (VSH) LOCAL TRANSIT SERVICES FIXED ROUTES FOR THE CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2016, TO JUNE 30, 2017

		Delta				н	WY 99						
	Claimed	Calculated				Claimed	Calculated						
Daily VSH	9.15	9.17				27.00	2 7.92						
											Delta &		L HWY 99
		D-4	Delta				C-F	HWY 99			HWY 99	Com	nbined
		B=A x Calculated	C=A x Claimed				G=F x Calculated	H=F x Claimed					
	Α	Daily VSH	Daily VSH	D=C-B	J=D x E	F	Dialy VSH	Daily VSH	I=H-G	K=lxE	E	D+I	J+K
	^	Daily Voll	Daily Voil	D-C-D	(Under)/	•	Didiy Voil	Dully Voll	1-11-0	K-IXE	-	D.1	(Under)/
				(Under)/	Over				(Under)/			(Under)/	Over
	# of			Over	Claimed	# of			Over	(Under)/Over	Fixed	Over	Claimed
	Service	Recalculated	Claimed	Claimed	VSH	Service	Recalculated	Claimed	Claimed	Claimed VSH	Hourly	Claimed	VSH
Month:	Days	VSH	VSH	VSH	Amount	Days	VSH	VSH	VSH	Amount	Rate	VSH	Amount
July 2016	20.00	183.40	183.00	(0.40)	\$ (15.33)	20.00	558.40	540	(18.40)	\$ (705.09)	\$ 38.32	(18.80)	\$ (720.42)
August 2016	23.00	210.91	210.45	(0.46)	(17.63)	23.00	642.16	621	(21.16)	(810.85)	38.32	(21.62)	(828.48)
September 2016	21.00	192.57	192.15	(0.42)	(16.09)	21.00	586.32	567	(19.32)	(740.34)	38.32	(19.74)	(756.44)
October 2016	21.00	192.57	192.15	(0.42)	(16.09)	21.00	586.32	567	(19.32)	(740.34)	38.32	(19.74)	(756.44)
November 2016	19.00	174.23	173.85	(0.38)	(14.56)	19.00	530.48	513	(17.48)	(669.83)	38.32	(17.86)	(684.40)
December 2016	21.00	192.57	192.15	(0.42)	(16.09)	21.00	586.32	567	(19.32)	(740.34)	38.32	(19.74)	(756.44)
January 2017	20.00	183.40	183.00	(0.40)	(15.33)	20.00	558.40	540	(18.40)	(705.09)	38.32	(18.80)	(720.42)
February 2017	19.00	174.23	173.85	(0.38)	(14.56)	19.00	530.48	513	(17.48)	(669.83)	38.32	(17.86)	(684.40)
March 2017	23.00	210.91	210.45	(0.46)	(17.98)	23.00	642.16	621	(21.16)	(827.14)	39.09	(21.62)	(845.13)
April 2017	20.00	183.40	183.00	(0.40)	(15.64)	20.00	558.40	540	(18.40)	(719.26)	39.09	(18.80)	(734.89)
May 2017	22.00	201.74	201.30	(0.44)	(17.20)	22.00	614.24	594	(20.24)	(791.18)	39.09	(20.68)	(808.38)
June 2017	22.00	201.74	201.30	(0.44)	(17.20)	22.00	614.24	594	(20.24)	(791.18)	39.09	(20.68)	(808.38)
Totalo		2 201 /7	2 207 75	(F 00)	¢ /102 711		7,007,00	4 777 00	/020.001	¢ (0.010.40)	1	1025.041	\$ (0.104.10)
Totals	:	2,301.67	2,296.65	(5.02)	\$ (193.71)		7,007.92	6,777.00	(230.92)	\$ (8,910.49)	1	(235.94)	\$ (9,104.19)

¹⁾ See page 7 of Schedule B

STORER TRANSIT SYSTEM (STS) SCHEDULE OF AMOUNT CLAIMED AND RECALCULATED FOR VEHICLE SERVICE HOURS (VSH) COMMUTER TRANSIT SERVICES FOR THE CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2016, TO JUNE 30, 2017

Daily VSH

GSCE					
Claimed	Calculated				
8.75	8.83				

			GSCE			
_		B=A x	C = A x			
		Calculated	Claimed			
	Α	Rate	Rate	D=C-B	E	DxE
				(Under)/	GSCE	(Under)/
				Over	Fixed	Over
	# of Svc	Recalculated	Claimed	Claimed	Hourly	Claimed
Month:	Days	VSH	VSH	VSH	Rate	Amount
L.d. 001/	20.00	17//0	175.00	(1, (0)	ф 4 7.70	ф (7.4.70)
July 2016	20.00	176.60	175.00	(1.60)	\$ 46.70	\$ (74.72)
August 2016	23.00	203.09	201.25	(1.84)	46.70	(85.93)
September 2016	21.00	185.43	183.75	(1.68)	46.70	(78.46)
October 2016	21.00	185.43	183.75	(1.68)	46.70	(78.46)
November 2016	19.00	167.77	166.25	(1.52)	46.70	(70.98)
December 2016	21.00	185.43	183.75	(1.68)	46.70	(78.46)
January 2017	20.00	176.60	175.00	(1.60)	46.70	(74.72)
February 2017	19.00	167.77	166.25	(1.52)	46.70	(70.98)
March 2017	23.00	203.09	201.25	(1.84)	47.87	(88.08)
April 2017	20.00	176.60	175.00	(1.60)	47.87	(76.59)
May 2017	22.00	194.26	192.50	(1.76)	47.87	(84.25)
June 2017	22.00	194.26	192.50	(1.76)	47.87	(84.25)
Totals		2,216.33	2,196.25	(20.08)	1)	\$ (945.88)

¹⁾ See page 8 of Schedule B

STORER TRANSIT SYSTEM (STS) SUMMARY OF (UNDER)/OVER CLAIMED VEHICLE SERVICE HOUR (VSH) AMOUNT

FOR THE CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2013, TO JUNE 30, 2017

	(Ur	(Under)/ Over Claimed VSH				
		Amount				
	Del	ta & HWY 99				
Period:	\subset	Combined	GSCE			
FY 13/14	\$	(8,543.38)	2,733.58			
FY 14/15		(8,714.87)	2,801.99			
FY 15/16		(8,960.92)	5.73			
FY 16/17		(9,104.19)	(945.88)			
Totals	\$	(35,323.36)	4,595.42			

STORER TRANSIT SYSTEM (STS) SCHEDULE OF REPORTED AND REVIEWED VEHICLE MILES COMMUTER SERVICES FOR THE CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2013, TO JUNE 30, 2014

Month:	Reported by STS	Reviewed Miles	Variance
July 2013	3,905	3,905	
August 2013	3,901	3,901	
September 2013	3,572	3,572	
October 2013	4,153	4,153	
November 2013	3,235	3,235	
December 2013	3,557	3,557	
January 2014	3,715	3,715	
February 2014	3,329	3,329	
March 2014	3,905	3,905	
April 2014	4,242	4,242	
May 2014	4,112	. 4,112	
June 2014	3,864	3,864	
Totals	45,490	45,490	

STORER TRANSIT SYSTEM (STS) SCHEDULE OF REPORTED AND REVIEWED VEHICLE MILES COMMUTER SERVICES FOR THE CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2014, TO JUNE 30, 2015

Month:	Reported by STS	Reviewed Miles	Variance
July 2014 August 2014 September 2014 October 2014 November 2014 December 2014 January 2015 February 2015 March 2015	3,913 3,900 3,907 4,299 3,312 4,036 3,855 3,606 4,061	3,913 3,900 3,907 4,299 3,312 4,036 3,855 3,606 4,061	
April 2015 May 2015 June 2015 Totals	4,155 3,792 4,141 46,977	4,155 3,792 4,141 46,977	

STORER TRANSIT SYSTEM (STS) SCHEDULE OF REPORTED AND REVIEWED VEHICLE MILES COMMUTER SERVICES FOR THE CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2015, TO JUNE 30, 2016

Month	Reported by	Daviewed Miles	Variance
Month:	STS	Reviewed Miles	Variance
July 2015	4,131	4,131	
August 2015	3,858	3,858	
September 2015	4,218	4,218	
October 2015	6,648	6,648	
November 2015	5,463	5,463	
December 2015	6,356	6,356	
January 2016	5,686	5,686	
February 2016	6,002	6,002	
March 2016	6,874	6,874	
April 2016	6,288	6,288	
May 2016	6,253	6,253	
June 2016	6,585	6,585	
Totals	68,362	68,362	

STORER TRANSIT SYSTEM (STS) SCHEDULE OF REPORTED AND REVIEWED VEHICLE MILES COMMUTER SERVICES FOR THE CONTRACT PERIOD JULY 1, 2016, TO JUNE 30, 2017

Month:	Reported by STS	Reviewed Miles	Variance
July 2016 August 2016 September 2016 October 2016 November 2016 December 2016 January 2017 February 2017	6,003 6,860 6,290 6,279 5,670 6,252 6,003 5,669	6,003 6,860 6,290 6,279 5,670 6,252 6,003 5,669	
March 2017 April 2017 May 2017 June 2017 Totals	6,919 5,954 6,583 6,559 75,041	6,919 5,954 6,583 6,559 75,041	